DATE: 16 December 1971.

MEMORANDUM FOR: Capt. Charles Redman

SUBJECT

Results of Photo Comparison,

Case No.

REFERENCE

Request from NOK of Joseph P. Fanning

- 1. Transmitted herewith are results of photo comparison analysis between the Christmas 1969 film of American PWs in North Vietnam and photographs submitted with reference.
- 2. The evidence cited in the attached report does not constitute definitive proof of the status or identity of individuals portrayed in the questioned photographs.
- 3. Since the Agency's participation in this program is classified, the fact of such participation must not be revealed. This report, therefore, may not be used in an unclassified arena, and the Agency cannot be responsible for any action or decision based in whole or in part on the judgments expressed in the report.
- 4. All materials received from your office in connection with subject request are returned herewith.

APPROVED FOR RELEASE Date 14 Nove 18



Attachments:

(1) Christmas 1969 comparison No.

(2) Materials submitted with request:

(a) Overlay

(b) 0 precapture photos

(c) Other:

0001.

Profit See 7'

is Company of

Date of Report: 16 December 1971

РНО	TO C	OMPARISON ANALYSIS RESULTS: Christmas 1969 No.
1.		Summary of request: (Date received:)
		Please compare the attached 0 pre-capture photographs of Joseph P. Fanning with the Christmas 1969 film obtained by Representative Zion, especially prints numbered DIA USN 14, 18 USAF 14-9, 15-4, 16-5; 98-2; 101-4, 102-2, 103-2, 107-2
	b.	See attached overlay for exact location of image to be compared.
2.	(U)	Summary of comparison performed:
	a.	The following frames were chosen for comparison with the photographs submitted:
i	b.	technicians working independently of each other analyzed the identifiable features listed below.
3.		Results of analysis:
	а.	(U) Quality of pre-capture photographs submitted: Adequate/inadequate for analysis of recognizable features.
	ъ.	(U) Quality of frames in Christmas film: Adequate/inadequate for analysis of recognizable features.
	c.	The following features were considered similar:
		(1)
		(2)
		(3)
		(4)



מי ו	Ò
(3)	
(4)	
(5)	
(6)	
(7)	
(8)	
(9)	
l. The similar:	following features were considered dis-
(1)	
(2)	
(3)	
_ (4)	
· (5)	
e. [] C	onclusion:
(1)	In view of the similarity in general appearance and significant number of similar features, could be the subject of the questioned photographs.
(2)	In view of the significant number of differences in distinguishable features, probably is not the subject of the questioned photographs.
(3)	In view of the quality of photography and the small number of distinguish- able features which could be compared, no conclusion can be reached.

f. (U) The same image has been compared with precapture photographs of Air Force,
Navy, Marine, Army, and
civilian personnel.



g. Comments: Joseph P. Fanning photo comparison:
All of the images selected, USAF numbers 14-9,
15-4, 16-5, 98-2, 101-4, 102-2, 103-2, 107-2 and
Navy numbers 14 and 18, from the 1969 Christmas
film, were inadequate for comparison analysis. In
some cases it has been possible to identify otherwise inadequate images by tracing them throughout
the film. Regrettably the effort has been fruitless in the cases of 14-9, 15-4, and 16-5. These
images were taken of an individual or possibly
two individuals at the back of the room during the
Protestant service. Image 98-2 was taken of an
unidentified individual in the second row of the
Catholic service, an individual close to the
camera whose PW identification number (TU 2-167)
can be clearly seen but who has not yet been identified. Image 107-2 is also in the fourth row
of the Catholic service and might be the same
individual as TU 2-167, or the man to his left,
Lt. Gerald L. Coffee, Navy, who has been positively
identified. Navy 14 and Navy 18 images selected
have not been identified.



WARNING: This photo comparison analysis was performed utilizing the best available techniques; however, the quality of the photographs in question precluded positive identification. There may be other overriding factors concerning the individual's case which could confirm or invalidate the photocomparison analysis.

Αt	t	a	C	hm	en	ŧ	Ş	:	
----	---	---	---	----	----	---	---	---	--

(a) Post-capture photographs, with overlay or other exact identification of image to be compared:

(b) Pre-capture photographs: